

Thoughts arising from the “House in Order” working group

Jackie, Billy and Adrian – meeting Wednesday 15/1/25

The group touched on:

- Confidentiality – too much of sub-group proceedings is hidden by a veil of confidentiality – take this to the Partners’ Awayday
- Minutes of sub-groups – All agreed that these should be published promptly
 - Is there an archive of past minutes
- WDCO minutes to contain Action Points for board members , which should be acted upon before the following meeting (**this was begun at the January Board**)
- The Board Action Points will not always be allocated to the usual suspects, but will give a larger number of board members the opportunity to contribute.
- What exactly is the role of the ITLA?
 - Is there a contract setting out their roles and responsibilities their duties and the amount of control they have and the amount of time they should spend advising social housing tenants
- Action Tracker
 - Do we need an extension to cover the more numerous actions now board members are involved
- Constitutional Review
 - How should we go about this, as there are obvious gaps, e.g. holding meetings remotely
- Town Square
 - Given the current levels of dissatisfaction with the ground floor what is proposed for the Town Square should be reviewed and changed if necessary
- RCC Meetings
 - The arrangements have too many elements that are unsatisfactory
 - The TV is too small
 - The acoustics make it difficult to hear
 - The acoustics are bad
 - Laying out furniture takes time and effort and distracts from the social/prelim/follow up discussion
 - The tables we need to use are a mish mash of different sizes and shapes and falling into disrepair